## **REGULAR p-GROUPS AND WORDS GIVING RISE TO COMMUTATIVE GROUP OPERATIONS**

**BY** 

J. R. J. GROVES

## ABSTRACT

Groups with words which give rise to commutative operations are considered. Finite  $p$ -groups with this property are shown to be regular. It is also shown that finite  $p$ -groups of class less than  $p$ , among other groups, have this property.

If G is a group, we may define a binary operation  $*$  on G by

$$
x * y = v(x, y)
$$

where v is some fixed word in x and y. If the elements of  $G$ , together with the operation  $*$ , define a group, then we say that v is a group-word for G and write the corresponding group  $G_{\theta}$ .

A number of authors have considered the existence of group-words for groups, or classes of groups, and the relationship between  $G$  and  $G<sub>v</sub>$  (see, for example, Higman and Neumann [2], Hulanicki and Swierczkowski [3] and Street [6]). In this note, we shall be interested in the problem, raised by Cooper [1], of when a group G possesses a group-word v for which  $G<sub>v</sub>$  is abelian; following Cooper, we say that  $G$  is then verbally abelian. Much of the interest of verbally abelian groups lies in their connection with regular p-groups.

THEOREM 1. Let G be a finite p-group. There is a group-word v for which  $G<sub>v</sub>$  is *regular if and only if G is regular.* 

In particular,  $G$  is verbally abelian only if  $G$  and all its direct powers are regular (that is,  $G$  is V-regular in the sense of Weichsel [7]). We observe that V-regularity, rather than regularity, is appropriate here because direct powers of verbally abelian groups are again verbally abelian. This answers a question of Cooper in [1].

It remains to decide which V-regular groups are verbally abelian. We prove that at least those of "small" class are.

Received June 10, 1975

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that G is a nilpotent group of class c and that the derived group of G has finite exponent n, where every prime divisor of n is greater than c. Then G is verbally abelian.* 

(Note that this contradicts an example of a non-verbally-abelian group of small class claimed by Cooper in [1].)

The theorem is deduced from the following, apparently more general, lemma. If G is a group, let  $\Lambda_n(G)$  denote the subgroup generated by all elements of order dividing n and  $M_n(G)$  the subgroup generated by all nth powers of elements of  $G$ ; let  $G'$  denote the derived group of  $G$ .

LEMMA. *Let F be a nilpotent group with a law* 

 $x_1^n x_2^n = (x_1 x_2 w(x_1, x_2))^n$ 

where w is a commutator word. Then  $F/\Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')$  is verbally abelian.

We deduce Theorem 2 from this lemma by observing that the free nilpotent group of class c has such a law if every prime divisor of  $n$  is greater than  $c$  and that groups of the type described in Theorem 2 can be expressed as suitable quotients of these free nilpotent groups.

It is of interest to speculate about  $V$ -regular  $p$ -groups which are not of small class. Are they expressible as suitable quotients as required by the lemma? Are they even expressible as quotients of torsion-free groups which have a law of the required kind? If all V-regular groups are not verbally abelian, where is the dividing line? Theorem 2 suggests that this dividing line and the dividing lines for verbally-class-k groups or verbally-small-class groups may hopefully give partitions of the V-regular groups which are of some interest.

For basic results concerning regular groups, we refer to Huppert [4, III]. A section of a group is a homomorphic image of a subgroup.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If  $G$  is regular, there is nothing to prove. Suppose, then, that G is irregular and that G has a group-word v such that  $G<sub>v</sub>$  is regular. Then G has a minimal irregular section H and clearly  $v$  is still a group-word for H and  $H<sub>v</sub>$  is still regular.

It is straightforward to show that  $v$  is equivalent in  $H$  to a word

$$
x_1x_2w(x_1,x_2)
$$

where  $w(x_1, x_2)$  is a commutator word in  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Now, since  $H<sub>v</sub>$  is regular, for all  $x, y \in H_{\nu}$ 

$$
(x * y)^{*p} = (x^{*p}) * (y^{*p}) * d^{*p}
$$

where  $*$  is the derived operation and  $x^{*p}$  denotes the pth power of x under this operation and  $d<sub>*</sub>$  is a product of \*-commutator words in x and y.

Now  $x^{*p} = x^p$  and every \*-commutator lies in H' (by a straightforward calculation). Hence, for  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$
(x*y)^p=x^p*y^p*d_*^p.
$$

But, M is a minimal irregular group and so, by theorem 2(b) of Mann [5],  $d_*^p = 1$ . Hence

$$
(xyw(x, y))^p = x^p y^p w (x^p, y^p).
$$

But, by theorem  $2(k)$  of Mann [5],  $(xyw(x, y))^p = (xy)^p$  and  $w(x^p, y^p) = 1$ . Hence, for all  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$
(xy)^p = x^p y^p
$$

and  $H$  is regular. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Although the lemma and Theorem 2 are based on considerations of finite p-groups, we have stated them in a more general form. We therefore list some consequences of the law  $x_1^n x_2^n = (x_1 x_2 d(x_1, x_2))^n$  which can be proved in a manner similar to the analogous results for regular p-groups (see Huppert [5, III]):

1)  $\Lambda_n(F)$  consists of elements of order dividing n;

2)  $M_n(F)$  consists of *n*th powers;

3) if a,  $b \in F$  and  $a'' = b''$ , then  $(ab^{-1})'' = 1$ ;

4) if a,  $b \in F$ , then there exists  $c \in F'$  such that  $[a^n, b^n] = c^{n^2}$ .

Let x, y, z be elements of F. Define an operation  $*$  on F by

$$
a * b = abw (a, b)
$$

where a,  $b \in F$  and w is the commutator word given in the statement of the lemma. Then

$$
x^{n}y^{n}z^{n} = \begin{cases} (x^{n}y^{n})z^{n} = (x * y)^{n}z^{n} = ((x * y) * z)^{n} \\ x^{n}(y^{n}z^{n}) = x^{n}(y * z)^{n} = (x * (y * z))^{n} \end{cases}.
$$

Hence  $((x * y) * z)^n = (x * (y * z))^n$  and so, by (3) above,

$$
(x * y) * z = x * (y * z) \cdot a
$$

where  $a \in \Lambda_n(F')$ . Thus  $*$  induces an associative operation on  $F/\Lambda_n(F')$  and so on  $F/\Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')$ . That this operation in fact turns  $F/\Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')$  into a group is now straightforward to check.

Now,

$$
(x * y)^n = x^n y^n, \qquad (y * x)^n = y^n x^n
$$

and so

$$
(y * x)^{-n} (x * y)^n = [x^n, y^n] = d^{n^2}
$$

for some  $d \in F'$  (by (4) above). Hence

 $(y * x)^{-n} (x * y)^n \in M_{n^2}(F')$ 

and so, by (a) and (3) above,

$$
((y * x)^{-1}(x * y))^n = e^{n^2} \qquad (e \in F').
$$

Hence, by (3),

$$
(e^{-n}(y * x)^{-1}(x * y))^n = 1.
$$

Thus

$$
(y * x)^{-1}(x * y) \in \Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')
$$

and so  $*$  induces a commutative group operation on  $F/\Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')$ . Hence  $F/\Lambda_n(F')M_n(F')$  is verbally abelian, as required.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $N$  be the free nilpotent group of class  $c$  and of rank the cardinality of a generating set of  $G$ . Since every prime divisor of  $n$  is greater than c, it follows from the Hall commutator collecting process (Huppert  $[5, III, 9]$ ) that N has a law

$$
(x_1x_2)^n=x_1^nx_2^nd_1^n\cdots d_k^n
$$

where  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  are commutator words. It is straightforward to deduce that N has a law

$$
x_1^n x_2^n = (x_1 x_2 w (x_1, x_2))^n
$$

where w is a commutator word.

Thus the lemma applies to N. Since N is torsion-free,  $\Lambda_n(N') = \{1\}$  and so  $N/M_n$  is verbally abelian. But, since G has class c and  $G'$  has exponent n, G is a homomorphic image of  $N/M_n(N')$ . Thus G is verbally abelian.

## **REFERENCES**

1. C. D. H. Cooper, *Words which give rise to another group operation for a given group,* in *Proc lnternat. Conf. Theory o[ Groups* (Canberra 1965), 221-225. *(Lecture Notes in Mathematics,* No. 372 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1974.)

2. G. Higman and B. H. Neumann, *Groups as groupoids with one law,* Publ. Math. Debrecen 2 (1951-2), 215-221.

3. A. Hulanicki and S. Swierczkowski, *On group operations other than xy or yx,* Publ. Math. Debrecen 9 (1962), 142-148.

4. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen* I, in *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete,* Band 37, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1967.

5. Avinoam Mann, *Regular p-groups,* Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), 471-477.

6. Anne Penfold Street, *Subgroup determining functions on groups,* Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 99-120.

7. Paul M. Weichsel, *Regular p-groups and varieties,* Math. Z. 95 (1967), 223-231.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE

PARKVILLE, VICTORIA 3052, AUSTRALIA